

## VSP Public Comment

---

**From:** Elisac8@aol.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 25, 2005 2:05 AM  
**To:** Secretary of State, Constituent Affairs  
**Cc:** McDannold, Bruce  
**Subject:** Standards for AVVPAT

Should California reject the proposed Diebold system? Vote=Yes

To protect, defend, and preserve the integrity of the vote in CA, please deny certification to the following voting systems in violation of the California Constitution: (Article 2, Sec. 2.5. A voter who casts a vote in an election in accordance with the laws of this state shall have that vote counted)

1. DIEBOLD GEMS 1.18.22 AV-TSX 4.6.1 VOTING SYSTEM with The ACCU VIEW PRINTER MODULE
2. E S and S TABULATORS, Models 100, 550, and 650
3. ANY SYSTEM WITH WIRELESS and INTERNET CAPABILITIES

Voting systems with wireless and Internet capabilities MUST be denied as they compromise the entire integrity, secrecy, and security of the voting process. Any knowledgeable hacker with a good encryption cracking program can access and corrupt and alter the voting data in a matter of minutes. The E S and S tabulators have a Dell laptop which contains wireless capabilities.

We need an auditable voter verified paper trail that can be read by vote counters hired and trained by Counties. The ballots/audit trails (AVVPAT) should conform to the following standards: printed on single sheet non-thermal paper, one record of vote per sheet; printed and organized to be easily read by both the voter and election officials; printed on a minimum of 12 font; required 1% manual audit and all recounts physically verified and hand counted only.

Further, BAN DIEBOLD From Doing Business in California for 3 years, by enforcing California Election Code Section 19214.5, subsection (a) and (3) which penalize voting companies that sell and install uncertified software.

In conclusion, please require a paper trail that will ensure ballots are counted as cast, oppose wireless capabilities for e-voting systems, promote open source software, and ban Diebold from doing business in California for three years.

Thanks for your consideration.

Ms. Elisa Cohen  
2030 N. Vermont Avenue  
Los Angeles, CA 90027

### Citizen Proposed Standards:

The AVVPAT shall be printed on single sheet non-thermal at least 16 pound paper, one record of vote per sheet.

Every recorded vote, no matter how recorded, shall have a AVVPAT copy.

The AVVPAT record of the vote shall be printed in a minimum of 12 point font.

The AVVPAT shall be printed and organized to be easily read by both the voter and election officials.

The AVVPAT during the 1% manual audit and any recount shall be physically verified and hand counted only.

The recorded vote choices on the AVVPAT shall not be audited or recounted by automatic or electronic methods.

There shall not be a method by which any particular voting record can be connected to any particular voter.

Any AVVPAT spoiled or rejected by a voter because of a voting system error shall not be counted as a spoiled ballot under the two spoiled ballots limit.

No remote access to voting machines by wireless or internet.